

APPENDIX 2 - Environment and Housing Performance Information December 2015 (Community Safety, Waste & Environment)

Performance area	Performance Reports / Data	BCP Priority?	Summary comments drawing out performance issues for noting/discussion						
Crime - Burglary	Partnership quarterly report card and burglary ward performance overview		Annual Comparison						
			Jan-Dec 2014	Jan-Dec 2015	Change	%			
			Burglary Dwelling	4421	4889	+468	+10.5%		
			Monthly Comparison						
Dec 2014	Dec 2015	Change	%						
Burglary Dwelling	633	403	-230	-36.3%					
Quarterly Trend									
Q3 14/15	Q4 14/15	Q1 15/16	Q2 15/16	Q3 15/16					
Burglary Dwelling	1623	1350	1091	1163	1285				
Key Issues:			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • City wide, the most frequent method of entry is forced entry; however wards with higher general affluence tend to see higher levels of “euro-profile” burglary dwelling offences. • High volume wards are Hyde Park and Woodhouse, Armley, Gipton and Harehills, and Killingbeck and Seacroft These 4 wards account for 22% of all Leeds recorded burglary dwelling offences in the 12 month period. Recurring localities within high volume wards include Bagby Fields, the Seaforths, and the Hawksworth estate. • Pudsey may be an emerging priority for burglary dwelling offences, experiencing a significant rise in offences over the last 3 months. 						
Anti-Social Behaviour	Partnership quarterly report card and monthly service level data		Annual Comparison						
			Jan-Dec 2014	Jan-Dec 2015	Change	%			
			Nuisance and Damage	24149	20046	-4103	-17.0%		
			Monthly Comparison						
Dec 2014	Dec 2015	Change	%						
Nuisance and Damage	1373	1466	+93	+6.8%					

Quarterly Trend	Q3 14/15	Q4 14/15	Q1 15/16	Q2 15/16	Q3 15/16
Nuisance and Damage	4989	4571	5122	5244	5109

Key Issues:

- Youth related nuisance continues to be the most common cause for concern, accounting for over a third of all nuisance/ damage related calls.
- Alcohol remains a significant contributory factor to nuisance calls, with little variation from previous levels recorded.

Domestic Violence

Partnership quarterly report card and some police data

BCP Objective 1 – Supporting communities and tackling poverty – Reducing the prevalence and impact of domestic violence and abuse.

Annual Comparison		Jan-Dec 2014	Jan-Dec 2015	Change	%
Repeat Victim	Incidents	4981	5756	+775	+15.6%
	Rate	35.1%	36.1%	-	-
Repeat Suspect	Incidents	2266	3335	+1069	+47.1%
	Rate	16.0%	20.9%	-	-
Total (incidents)		14184	15938	-	-

Monthly Comparison		Dec 2014	Dec 2015	Change	%
Repeat Victim	Incidents	421	509	+88	+20.9%
	Rate	32.9%	35.1%	-	-
Repeat Suspect	Incidents	210	275	+65	+31.0%
	Rate	16.4%	19.0%	-	-
Total (incidents)		1279	1449	-	-

Quarterly Trend		Q3 14/15	Q4 14/15	Q1 15/16	Q2 15/16	Q3 15/16
Repeat Victim	Incidents	1261	1324	1391	1535	1506
	Rate	34.8%	36.8%	36.0%	36.0%	35.8%
Repeat Suspect	Incidents	593	733	819	915	868
	Rate	16.4%	20.4%	21.2%	21.4%	20.6%
Total (incidents)		3620	3600	3865	4268	4205

Key Issues:

- The most common domestic incidents with repeat victims have been violence or verbal disputes.
- Children are recorded as being present in over a third of incidents; between 8 and

			<p>10% have “alcohol involved” flags recorded.</p> <p>*Please be advised that December data is provisional data based on monthly WYP figures. It is possible there will be slight variation to the final WYP performance report when released, but any variation is expected to be minor.</p>																																																																													
Missed bins per 100,000	Monthly trend report	BCP Objective 5 – Dealing effectively with the city’s waste – Ensuring waste is collected on the scheduled day (Reduce the number of missed collections).	<p>Latest Available Data – Lunar 10 (Week Commencing 7 December 2015):</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th colspan="10">2015/16</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>1</th> <th>2</th> <th>3</th> <th>4</th> <th>5</th> <th>6</th> <th>7</th> <th>8</th> <th>9</th> <th>10</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Residual Black</td> <td>59.68</td> <td>55.47</td> <td>140.38</td> <td>109.62</td> <td>108.79</td> <td>84.20</td> <td>75.77</td> <td>75.42</td> <td>71.97</td> <td>70.78</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SORT - Green</td> <td>68.60</td> <td>75.96</td> <td>69.72</td> <td>73.22</td> <td>69.58</td> <td>61.88</td> <td>55.86</td> <td>55.16</td> <td>42.98</td> <td>43.68</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Garden - Brown</td> <td>82.41</td> <td>85.06</td> <td>105.66</td> <td>99.06</td> <td>95.75</td> <td>82.31</td> <td>85.38</td> <td>63.21</td> <td>70.75</td> <td>0.00</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Overall</td> <td>67.18</td> <td>67.86</td> <td>107.46</td> <td>94.23</td> <td>91.86</td> <td>75.75</td> <td>70.65</td> <td>65.50</td> <td>61.25</td> <td>58.34</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Previous Year</td> <td>85.99</td> <td>85.89</td> <td>91.34</td> <td>72.81</td> <td>115.36</td> <td>88.75</td> <td>81.98</td> <td>64.32</td> <td>58.54</td> <td>76.18</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		2015/16											1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	Residual Black	59.68	55.47	140.38	109.62	108.79	84.20	75.77	75.42	71.97	70.78	SORT - Green	68.60	75.96	69.72	73.22	69.58	61.88	55.86	55.16	42.98	43.68	Garden - Brown	82.41	85.06	105.66	99.06	95.75	82.31	85.38	63.21	70.75	0.00	Overall	67.18	67.86	107.46	94.23	91.86	75.75	70.65	65.50	61.25	58.34	Previous Year	85.99	85.89	91.34	72.81	115.36	88.75	81.98	64.32	58.54	76.18
	2015/16																																																																															
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10																																																																						
Residual Black	59.68	55.47	140.38	109.62	108.79	84.20	75.77	75.42	71.97	70.78																																																																						
SORT - Green	68.60	75.96	69.72	73.22	69.58	61.88	55.86	55.16	42.98	43.68																																																																						
Garden - Brown	82.41	85.06	105.66	99.06	95.75	82.31	85.38	63.21	70.75	0.00																																																																						
Overall	67.18	67.86	107.46	94.23	91.86	75.75	70.65	65.50	61.25	58.34																																																																						
Previous Year	85.99	85.89	91.34	72.81	115.36	88.75	81.98	64.32	58.54	76.18																																																																						
Recycling	Monthly trend report	BCP Objective 5 – Dealing effectively with the city’s waste – maximising recycling, reuse and recovery opportunities.	<p>Latest Available Data:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>July</th> <th>August</th> <th>September</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Monthly Target</td> <td>49.54%</td> <td>47.31%</td> <td>49.29%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Monthly Achieved</td> <td>44.71%</td> <td>44.82%</td> <td>44.23%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>YTD Target</td> <td>49.02%</td> <td>48.70%</td> <td>48.79%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>YTD Achieved</td> <td>45.54%</td> <td>45.40%</td> <td>45.21%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>The latest data (cumulative to September 2015) shows the Council is currently recycling 45.2% of household waste. This is 1.3% lower than the same period last year, and remains consistent with the position reported for Quarter 1.</p> <p>The current projection for recycling for 2015/16 is approx. 41-42% which is slightly lower</p>		July	August	September	Monthly Target	49.54%	47.31%	49.29%	Monthly Achieved	44.71%	44.82%	44.23%	YTD Target	49.02%	48.70%	48.79%	YTD Achieved	45.54%	45.40%	45.21%																																																									
	July	August	September																																																																													
Monthly Target	49.54%	47.31%	49.29%																																																																													
Monthly Achieved	44.71%	44.82%	44.23%																																																																													
YTD Target	49.02%	48.70%	48.79%																																																																													
YTD Achieved	45.54%	45.40%	45.21%																																																																													

than the 2014/15 figure (42.8%) mainly as a result of the reasons outlined above, and takes account of seasonal trends.

There are a number of variations which are contributing to the reduction from last year.

On a positive note there are continued improvements in high quality recycling resulting from the successful implementation of AWC. Across the City the volume of dry recyclables (Green bin material) has increased by around 15% year on year which on its own would have increased the overall recycling rate by 1.2%. However, due to reductions in the volume of garden waste collected, which is very weather dependent, compared to last year (>5%) and this has taken 0.7% off the overall recycling rate.

Finally, some of the kerbside residual waste is also sorted for recycling by one of our contractors (Associated Waste Management). However, the volumes being recycled are lower with more of the waste being sent for energy recovery. This has reduced the recycling rate by over 2%. Once the front end recycling element of the Energy from Waste plant is fully functioning, this decline in recycling from black bin waste will be arrested.

Tonnage to Landfill (domestic waste only)

Monthly trend report

BCP Objective 5 – Dealing effectively with the city’s waste – Minimising the amount of waste that ends up in landfill.

Latest Available Data:

	July	August	September
Monthly Target	11,712t	10,913t	10,999t
Monthly Achieved	6,563t	6,686t	5,679t
YTD Target	46,186t	57,099t	68,098t
YTD Achieved	29,155t	35,841t	41,520t

YTD figures (September 2015) show 41,520t has been sent to landfill - this is better than targeted and is a reduction on the figure for the same period last year (72,877t). Kerbside collected black bin waste stands at 83,289t as of September 2015. This is a decrease on the 85,638t September 2014 position.

Grounds Maintenance	Grass Cutting Report		Resident enquiries:	
			Dates	Contacts from residents regarding quality concerns
			1 st March – 31 st December 2014	998
			1 st March – 31 st December 2015	196
			Reduction	80.3%
			<p>Between 1st March and 31st December 2014 there were 998 enquires from members of the public, with 196 for the same period this year – a reduction of 80.3%. These issues include a broad range of quality concerns raised by local residents. Some of the improvement will be related to better weather, and some as a direct result of the work that has been undertaken to support contractor staff and skills retention. This has enabled a reduction in the levels of seasonal employees deployed to the work through the addition of a winter mulching programme and efforts have been taken by contractor management to address staff behaviour and undertake additional training. All of the contractor's team leaders are undertaking a programme of training that includes supervisory skills and customer care as key elements of improvement.</p>	

--	--	--	--	--

Exclusions from performance monitoring this quarter:

Street Cleanliness – An update has previously been provided to the Board and there is currently no further update to report. A winter survey is planned for mid-February with the results due to be available at the end of March.

Leeds Quality Park Standard – An update has previously been provided to the Board and there is currently no further update to report.

Ash Tree Dieback – the spread of the disease is continuing to be monitored.